FROM: HQ AFCESA/CES
139 Barnes Drive
Tyndall AFB FL  32403-5319

SUBJECT: Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 00-9: Airblast Protection Retrofit for Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls

1. Purpose. This ETL provides guidance for retrofit upgrade of existing unreinforced non-loadbearing concrete masonry walls to provide protection from explosive airblast.


2.1. Authority:

2.2. Effective Date: Immediately.

2.3. Ultimate Recipients:
- MAJCOM Civil Engineering offices.
- Base Civil Engineering personnel.
- Responsible Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM) offices acting as design/construction agents for Air Force projects or facilities on Air Force property.

2.4. Coordination: MAJCOM Civil Engineering offices.

3. References.

3.1. Air Force:
- AFMAN 32-1071V1, Security Engineering - Project Development (For Official Use Only)
- AFMAN 32-1071V2, Security Engineering - Concept Design (For Official Use Only)
- AFMAN 32-1071V3, Security Engineering - Final Design (For Official Use Only)

3.2. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers:
- CEGS-13700, Mass Concrete
- TM 5-855-1, Design and Analysis of Hardened Structures to Conventional Weapons Effects
- ETL 1110-3-495, Estimating Damage to Structures from Terrorist Bombs
3.3. American Concrete Institute (ACI):
   - ACI 318-95, *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*

   - A615/A615M-00, *Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement, Deformed and Plain Billet*
   - C881-90, *Concrete, Epoxy-Resin-Base Bonding Systems*

4. Definitions.

4.1. *Aramid*: A generic name for a tough synthetic yarn that is in the aromatic polyamide family. It consists of long molecular chains that are highly oriented with strong interchain bonding resulting in a lightweight, high-modulus material.


4.3. *Composite*: A material made from a fiber (or reinforcement) and an appropriate matrix material to maximize specific performance properties. The constituents do not dissolve or merge completely but retain their identities as they act in concert.

4.4. *Conventional Construction*: Building construction including walls, doors, windows, or manufacturers' components which is not designed to resist tools, weapons, or explosives, but is designed to resist common environmental conditions.

4.5. *End*: A strand of roving consisting of a given number of filaments gathered together. The group of filaments is considered an "end" or strand before twisting, a "yarn" after twist has been applied.

4.6. *Fiber-Reinforced Plastic (FRP)*: A general term for a composite that is reinforced with cloth, mat, strands, or any other fiber.

4.7. *Fill*: Yarn oriented at right angles to the warp in a fabric.

4.8. *Matrix*: A material in which the fiber of a composite is embedded.

4.9. *Geotextile*: A planar, permeable, polymeric (synthetic or natural) textile material, which may be nonwoven, knitted, or woven. Geotextiles are primarily used in contact with soil/rock and/or any other geotechnical material in civil engineering applications.

4.10. *Knitted Fiberglass Fabric*: A fabric made from fiberglass ends, commonly used for aerospace and military applications. The warp and fill ends are not interlaced, but placed on top of each other at 90 degrees. A polyester knit yarn is used to form a plain jersey stitch that binds the warp and fill ends together. Knitted fiberglass fabric is
lightweight, supple, moderately strong, and is tolerant of both damage and cyclical
loading.

4.11. **Peel Strength:** Adhesive bond strength, measured in force per unit width,
obtained by a stress applied in a peeling mode.

4.12. **Roving:** A number of yarns, strands, tows, or ends collected into a parallel
bundle with little or no twist.

4.13. **Unreinforced Masonry Construction:** Construction composed of CMU lacking
reinforcement embedded in such a manner that the two materials act together to resist
forces. (Unreinforced masonry may be reinforced only for shrinkage or thermal
change.)


4.15. **Woven Kevlar\textsuperscript{®} Fabric:** A high-strength, high-modulus fabric made from yarns of
aramid fibers by interlacing the warp and weft ends. Kevlar\textsuperscript{®} is a registered trademark
of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. Woven Kevlar\textsuperscript{®} fabric is commonly used as
reinforcement in fiber-reinforced composite materials where good stiffness, high
abrasion resistance and light weight are required.

4.16. **Yarn:** An assemblage of twisted filaments, fibers, or strands, either natural or
manufactured, to form a continuous length that is suitable for use in knitting, braiding, or
weaving into textile materials.

5. **Requirements.**

5.1. **Objective of Airblast Protection Retrofit.** Recent terrorist attacks have demonstrated
the vulnerability of U.S. military and civilian personnel and the facilities where they work
and live. Moment-resisting frames with unreinforced infill CMU walls are a common
type of exterior construction in many parts of the world. Unfortunately, unreinforced
masonry walls provide limited protection against airblast due to explosions. When
subjected to overload from airblast, unreinforced CMU walls typically break into pieces,
which are then propelled into the interior of the structure, possibly causing severe injury
or death to the occupants. This ETL provides three retrofit methods which greatly
enhance the performance of unreinforced concrete masonry walls subject to airblast.

5.2. **Existing Structure Description.** The retrofit methods in this ETL apply directly to
buildings with concrete moment resisting frames and non-load bearing CMU infill walls.
Other applications of these retrofits to non-load-bearing unreinforced CMU walls must
be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Design criteria were developed based on an
8-inch (200-millimeter) nominal CMU thickness and a wall height of 12 feet (3.6
meters), and will be conservative for use with shorter walls and for walls with thicker
CMU. Structural members that the CMU walls connect to at their top and bottom must
allow for the attachment of the retrofit materials. This will require that the connecting
members be either reinforced concrete slabs with a minimum thickness of 6 inches (150 millimeters), or beams that provide adequate edge distance for attachment anchors to develop the required shear capacity. Embedments necessary to develop the required anchor strength were determined based on a concrete compressive strength of 4,000 pounds per square inch (27.6 megapascals) and should be adjusted if the existing concrete strength is less.

5.3. Retrofit Types.

5.3.1. Geotextile Fabric Catcher System. A curtain of geotextile fabric is placed behind the CMU wall, but not directly attached to it; covering the entire inside face of the wall. In the event of an explosion, the fabric catches broken pieces of the wall, preventing them from flying into the protected space and injuring occupants. Criteria for the use of the geotextile fabric method are presented in Attachments 1 and 2. This retrofit method does not apply to walls with windows.

5.3.2. Composite Backing System. A field-made composite of fiberglass or aramid fabric in an epoxy matrix is bonded to the entire interior face of the CMU wall. The composite is extended beyond the CMU wall to overlap the concrete frame to resist the applied blast pressure. To enhance shear strength at the connections, additional composite made of aramid fabric and epoxy is used to reinforce the connection points. In the event of an explosion, the composite enhances the bending strength of the wall and prevents broken pieces of the wall from entering the protected space and injuring occupants. The composite can be painted or covered with wallpaper to provide an aesthetically pleasing interior wall surface. Criteria for the use of the composite method are presented in Attachments 3 and 4. This retrofit method currently does not apply to walls with windows.

5.3.3. Reinforced Concrete Backing. A 4- or 6-inch (100- or 150-millimeter) thick reinforced concrete backing wall is placed against the inside face of the CMU wall. There are two ways for the backing wall to add strength to the CMU wall: (1) it can either add its flexural strength to that of the CMU wall with the interface between acting like a slip plane; or (2) with proper surface preparation of the CMU wall, the two walls can be made to act composite, giving a much greater strength gain. Criteria for the reinforced concrete backing method are presented in Attachments 5 and 6. This retrofit method can be applied to walls with windows.
6. **Point of Contact:** Mr. Myron Anderson, HQ AFCESA/CESC, DSN 523-6470, commercial (850) 283-6470, Internet [Myron.Anderson@tyndall.af.mil](mailto:Myron.Anderson@tyndall.af.mil), FAX (850) 283-6219.

Michael J. Cook, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technical Support

Atchs

1. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Inch-Pound Units)
2. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Standard International Units)
3. Composite Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Inch-Pound Units)
4. Composite Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Standard International Units)
5. Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Inch-Pound Units)
6. Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit for Airblast Protection of Unreinforced Concrete Masonry Walls (Standard International Units)
7. Distribution List
A1.1. General Description. A curtain of geotextile fabric is placed behind the CMU wall covering the entire inside face of the wall. In the event of an explosion, the fabric serves to catch broken pieces of the wall, preventing them from flying into the protected space causing injury to the occupants. This retrofit method is effective, relatively inexpensive, uses lightweight materials and is easy to install. It is not applicable to walls with windows, as the fabric must span continuously from floor to ceiling without interruption, nor is it an aesthetically pleasing solution. A cross-section with installation details is shown in Figure A1.1.

![Figure A1.1. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit Cross Section](image)


A1.2.1. Geotextile fabric is a woven material with orthotropic strength properties. Fabric strength and stiffness is usually substantially greater in the primary or machine direction than in the orthogonal or cross direction. The strong direction of the fabric must be oriented vertically and the fabric securely anchored to a structural slab or beam at the top and bottom with just enough tension to remove slack.
A1.2.2. The effectiveness of this type of retrofit depends on the load versus strain behavior of the fabric as well as a secure attachment to an existing structure whose members have adequate strength. This ETL gives performance criteria for four different fabrics that may be used with this method. This is not a complete listing of fabrics that are suitable for this application; there are many others available. Information presented in this section will give an indication of the fabric property requirements. Load versus strain data for each of the four fabrics is presented in Table A1.1. Data for three of the fabrics was taken from manufacturers' data sheets, and data for the fourth fabric was obtained from independent material tests. Comtrac R 500 is a product of Huesker Inc. of Germany; Mirafi HS 1715 and HS 800 are products of the Nicolon/Mirafi Group of the U.S.; and UK Aramid is the W7660 fabric manufactured by Verseidag Indutex Limited of the U.K.

Table A1.1. Geotextile Fabric Load Versus Strain Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fabric ID</th>
<th>Load at 5% Elongation (lb/in)</th>
<th>Load at 10% Elongation (lb/in)</th>
<th>Ultimate Load (lb/in)</th>
<th>Ultimate Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comtrac R 500 (M)</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comtrac R 500 (C)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1715 (M)</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1715 (C)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 800 (M)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800**</td>
<td>10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 800 (C)</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid (M)</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid (C)</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(M) Indicates machine (strong) direction.
(C) Indicates cross machine (weak) direction.
* No manufacturers' data available for this fabric; independent test results used.
** Assumed values used for analysis; not provided on manufacturers' data sheets.

A1.3. Anchorage to Existing Structure. The anchorage system shown in Figure A1.1 applies to all four fabrics. It was selected based on an assumed compressive strength for the existing concrete of $f'c = 4000$ pounds per square inch. The 4-inch embedment depth shown provides adequate capacity to develop the full strength of all fabrics, however deeper embedment, up to 8 inches, should be used if the slab thickness allows. An 8-inch embedment length will assure ductile behavior of the anchors; shorter embedment lengths may result in brittle failures. Minimum embedment depth was set at 4 inches to accommodate a 6-inch minimum slab thickness, and thereby extend the usefulness of this system to as many structures as possible. If the anchors are to be embedded into a beam rather than a slab, the edge distance from the center of the anchors to the inside face of the beam must be at least 6 inches.
A1.4. Retrofit Blast Load Capacities. Blast load capacities for each of the geotextile fabric retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figure A1.2. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall. The data used to create the curves shown in Figure A1.2 was generated using analytical methods. All loads used in the analyses were normal reflected pressures. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading. The 8-inch thick CMU wall was modeled as a one-way span of 12 feet between simple supports at its top and bottom. The fabric acts as a tension membrane spanning between the structural members at the top and bottom of the wall and was modeled as being installed in contact or nearly in contact with the inside face of the wall. The response limit used was a midspan deflection equal to two-thirds of the deflection at which the fabric reaches its ultimate strain.

Masonry Wall Geotextile Fabric Retrofit
12-Foot High, Unreinforced 8-Inch CMU Block Wall
One-Way Span With Simple Supports at Top and Bottom

Figure A1.2. Charge Weight Versus Standoff for Geotextile Fabric Retrofit
A1.5. *Cost Data for Geotextile Fabric Retrofits.* Table A1.2 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of geotextile fabric retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. Note that the material cost for the fabrics is a small part of the total retrofit cost, so that the total cost does not depend greatly on the type of fabric. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1998.

Table A1.2. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RETROFIT FABRIC</th>
<th>COST (Per Linear Foot of Wall)*</th>
<th>Material and Equipment</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Overhead and Profit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huesker Comtrac R 500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirafi HS 1715</td>
<td></td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirafi HS 800</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid</td>
<td></td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 12 feet.

Labor required: Carpenters

Equipment required:
- Rotary hammer drill for drilling holes in concrete
- Miscellaneous hand and power tools
A2.1. General Description. A curtain of geotextile fabric is placed behind the CMU wall covering the entire inside face of the wall. In the event of an explosion the fabric serves to catch broken pieces of the wall, preventing them from flying into the protected space causing injury to the occupants. This retrofit method is effective, relatively inexpensive, uses lightweight materials and is easy to install. It is not applicable to walls with windows, as the fabric must span continuously from floor to ceiling without interruption, nor is it an aesthetically pleasing solution. A cross-section showing installation details is shown in Figure A2.1.

![Figure A2.1. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit Cross Section](image)

A2.2. Geotextile Fabric Properties.

A2.2.1. Geotextile fabric is a woven material with orthotropic strength properties. Fabric strength and stiffness is usually substantially greater in the primary or machine direction than in the orthogonal or cross direction. The strong direction of the fabric must be oriented vertically and the fabric securely anchored to a structural slab or beam at the top and bottom with just enough tension to remove slack.
A2.2.2. The effectiveness of this type of retrofit depends on the load versus strain behavior of the fabric as well as a secure attachment to an existing structure whose members have adequate strength. This ETL gives performance criteria for four different fabrics that may be used with this method. This is not a complete listing of fabrics that are suitable for this application; there are many others available. Information presented in this section will give an indication of the fabric property requirements. Load versus strain data for each of the four fabrics is presented in Table A2.1. Data for three of the fabrics was taken from manufacturers data sheets and data for the fourth fabric was obtained from independent material tests. Comtrac R 500 is a product of Huesker Inc. of Germany, Mirafi HS 1715 and HS 800 are products of the Nicolon/Mirafi Group of the U.S., and UK Aramid is the W7660 fabric manufactured by Verseidag Indutex Limited of the U.K.

Table A2.1. Geotextile Fabric Load Versus Strain Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fabric ID</th>
<th>Load at 5% Elongation (N/mm)</th>
<th>Load at 10% Elongation (N/mm)</th>
<th>Ultimate Load (N/mm)</th>
<th>Ultimate Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comtrac R 500</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comtrac R 500</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1715 (M)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1715 (C)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 800 (M)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140**</td>
<td>10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 800 (C)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid (M)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) ·</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid (C)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) ·</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(M) Indicates machine (strong) direction.
(C) Indicates cross machine (weak) direction.
· No manufacturers data available for this fabric, independent test results used.
** Assumed values used for analysis, not provided on manufacturers data sheets.

A2.3. Anchorage to Existing Structure. The anchorage system shown in Figure A2.1 applies to all four fabrics. It was selected based on an assumed compressive strength for the existing concrete of $f_c = 27.6$ megapascals. The 100–millimeter embedment depth shown provides adequate capacity to develop the full strength of all fabrics; however, deeper embedment, up to 200 millimeters, should be used if the slab thickness allows. A 200-millimeter embedment length will assure ductile behavior of the anchors; shorter embedment lengths may result in brittle failures. Minimum embedment depth was set at 100 millimeters to accommodate a 150–millimeter minimum slab thickness, and thereby extend the usefulness of this system to as many structures as possible. If the anchors are to be embedded into a beam rather than a slab, the edge distance from the center of the anchors to the inside face of the beam must be at least 150 millimeters.
A2.4. Retrofit Blast Load Capacities. Blast load capacities for each of the geotextile fabric retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figure A2.2. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall. The data used to create the curves shown in Figure A2.2 was generated using analytical methods. All loads used in the analyses were normal reflected pressures. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading. The 200-millimeter-thick CMU wall was modeled as a one-way span of 3.6 meters between simple supports at its top and bottom. The fabric acts as a tension membrane spanning between the structural members at the top and bottom of the wall and was modeled as being installed in contact or nearly in contact with the inside face of the wall. The response limit used was a midspan deflection equal to two-thirds of the deflection at which the fabric reaches its ultimate strain.

Masonry Wall Geotextile Fabric Retrofit
3.6 Meters High, 200-Millimeter CMU Block Wall
One-Way Span With Simple Supports at Top and Bottom

![Figure A2.2. Charge Weight Versus Standoff for Geotextile Fabric Retrofit](image.png)
A2.5. Cost Data for Geotextile Fabric Retrofits. Table A2.2 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of geotextile fabric retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. Note that the material cost for the fabrics is a small part of the total retrofit cost, so that the total cost does not depend greatly on the type of fabric. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1998.

Table A2.2. Geotextile Fabric Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RETROFIT FABRIC</th>
<th>COST (Per Linear Foot of Wall)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Material and Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huesker Comtrac R 500</td>
<td>$106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirafi HS 1715</td>
<td>$89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirafi HS 800</td>
<td>$79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Aramid</td>
<td>$132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 3.6 meters.

Labor required: Carpenters

Equipment required:
- Rotary hammer drill for drilling holes in concrete
- Miscellaneous hand and power tools
COMPOSITE RETROFIT FOR AIRBLAST PROTECTION OF UNREINFORCED CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS (Inch-Pound Units)

A3.1. General Description. A field-made composite of fiberglass or aramid fabric in an epoxy matrix is bonded to the entire interior face of the CMU wall. The composite is extended beyond the CMU wall to overlap the concrete frame to resist the applied blast pressure. To enhance shear strength at the connections, additional composite made of aramid fabric and epoxy is used to reinforce the connection points. In the event of an explosion, the composite enhances the bending strength of the wall and prevents broken pieces of the wall from entering the protected space causing injury to the occupants. The composite can be painted or covered with wallpaper to provide an aesthetically pleasing interior wall surface. This retrofit method currently does not apply to walls with windows.

Figure A3.1. Composite Retrofit Cross Section
A3.2. Composite Properties.

A3.2.1. The effectiveness of the composite retrofit depends on the load versus strain behavior of the composite and the integrity of the bond of the composite to the wall and frame. This ETL gives performance criteria for composites made from two different fabrics and a single matrix material. This is not a complete listing of fabrics or matrix materials that are suitable for this application; there are many others available. It is important that any fabric used to reinforce the composite must be of balanced construction. Information presented in this section will give an indication of the composite property requirements.

A3.2.2. Load versus strain data for both composites are presented in Table C-1. These data obtained from independent material tests. The aramid material is a balanced woven fabric of Kevlar 49\textsuperscript{®} material manufactured by Fibre Glast Developments Corporation, of Brookville, Ohio. Kevlar\textsuperscript{®} is a registered trademark of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. The fiberglass (commonly referred to as E-glass) material is a balanced knitted textile manufactured by Collins Craft Composites of Walhalla, South Carolina. The two-component epoxy used is Hysol 9460 manufactured by the Hysol Corporation of Seabrook, New Hampshire.

Table A3.1. Composite Load and Strain Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Peel Strength (lb/in)</th>
<th>Ultimate Load (lb/in)</th>
<th>Ultimate Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 oz/yd\textsuperscript{2} knitted fiberglass fabric with 1/8-inch thick epoxy*</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 oz/yd\textsuperscript{2} aramid fabric&quot; with 1/8-inch thick epoxy*</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No manufacturers’ data available for this fabric; independent test results used.

A3.3. Application to Existing Structure. The manufacture and application of the composite material involves cutting the fabrics into the desired dimensions, thoroughly cleaning the surface to be retrofitted, placing the reinforcing strips at the intersections of the wall and frame, and placing the epoxy and fabric on the wall and frame. These steps are described in more detail below.

A3.3.1. Cut the fabric to the desired dimensions. The fabric should be cut so that there will be no less than an 8-inch overlap on the concrete frame. It is a good idea to purchase special scissors to cut the fabrics, as these fabrics tend to dull most scissors rather rapidly.

A3.3.2. The entire surface area over which the composite is to be applied must be cleaned thoroughly to ensure a good bond with the composite. Remove laitance and
vacuum the entire wall surface as well as the corners where the CMU wall meets the concrete frame.

A3.3.3. Mix the two components of the Hysol 9460 epoxy in a 1:1 ratio. A good mix color will be a light gray shade. The working time of epoxy at 90 °F is approximately 30 minutes.

A3.3.4. Next, apply the aramid reinforcement at the intersections of the CMU wall with the concrete frame. Apply a 16-inch-wide ribbon of epoxy (8 inches on the wall, 8 inches on the frame) to a depth of 1/8-inch thick. A notched trowel performs this task adequately. Then apply a 16-inch-wide strip of aramid fabric, ensuring 8 inches of lap on the wall and concrete frame. Continue around the entire wall, making sure all connections are reinforced with the bonded aramid/epoxy composite material. Where strips of fabric must be joined, use at least a 9-inch lap joint, making sure that the epoxy thoroughly saturates both pieces of fabric at the joint.

A3.3.5. Finally, apply the fabric (either aramid or fiberglass) to the wall, lapping the fabric onto the concrete frame a minimum of 8 inches. Apply a 1/8-inch-thick coat of epoxy to the entire surface of the wall. Apply strips of aramid or fiberglass fabric to the wall. Strips should be rolled on with a wax paper roller to ensure uniform penetration of the epoxy into the fabric. Where strips of fabric must be joined (horizontally or vertically), use at least a 9-inch lap joint, making sure that the epoxy thoroughly saturates both pieces of fabric at the lap joint.

A3.4. Retrofit Blast Load Capacities.

A3.4.1. Blast load capacities for each of the composite retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figure A3.2. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall.

A3.4.2. The data used to create the curves shown in Figure A3.2 were generated using analytical methods. All loads used in the analyses were normal reflected pressures. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading. The 8-inch-thick CMU wall was modeled as a one-way span of 12 feet between simple supports at its top and bottom. The fabric acts as a tension membrane spanning between the structural members at the top and bottom of the wall, and was modeled as being installed in contact or nearly in contact with the inside face of the wall. The response limit used was a midspan deflection equal to two-thirds of the deflection at which the fabric reaches its ultimate strain.
Masonry Wall Composite Retrofit
12-Foot High Unreinforced 8-Inch CMU Block Wall
One-Way Span With Simple Supports at Top and Bottom

Figure A3.2. Charge Weight versus Standoff for Composite Retrofit

A3.5. Cost Data for Composite Retrofits. Table A3.2 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of composite retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. Note that the material cost for the fabrics is a small part of the total retrofit cost, so that the total cost does not depend greatly on the type of fabric. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1999.
Table A3.2. Composite Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPOSITE</th>
<th>COST (Per Linear Foot of Wall)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Material and Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 oz/sq yd knitted fiberglass fabric with 1/8-inch thick epoxy</td>
<td>$101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 oz/sq yd aramid fabric with 1/8-inch thick epoxy*</td>
<td>$133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 12 feet.

Labor required: Carpenters

Equipment required: Miscellaneous hand and power tools
A4.1. General Description. A fabric composed of fiberglass or aramid fibers is bonded to the interior of the CMU wall using a high-strength epoxy forming a fiber-reinforced composite covering the entire inside face of the wall. The composite is extended beyond the CMU wall to overlap the concrete frame to resist the applied blast pressure. Additional aramid fabric strips are used to reinforce the connection points to provide enhanced shear strength at the connections. In the event of an explosion, the composite system enhances the bending strength of the wall and prevents broken pieces of the wall from entering the protected space causing injury to the occupants. The composite system can be painted or covered with wallpaper to provide an aesthetically pleasing interior wall surface. This retrofit method currently does not apply to walls with windows.
A4.2. Geotextile Fabric Properties.

A4.2.1. The effectiveness of the composite retrofit depends on the load versus strain behavior of the composite and the integrity of the bond of the composite to the wall and frame. This ETL gives performance criteria for composites made from two different fabrics and a single matrix material. This is not a complete listing of fabrics or matrix materials that are suitable for this application; there are many others available. It is important that any fabric used to reinforce the composite must be of balanced construction. Information presented in this section will give an indication of the composite property requirements.

A4.2.2. Load versus strain data for both composites are presented in Table A4.1. These data were obtained from independent material tests. The aramid material is a balanced woven fabric of Kevlar 49\textsuperscript{®} material manufactured by Fibre Glast Developments Corporation of Brookville, Ohio. Kevlar\textsuperscript{®} is a registered trademark of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. The fiberglass (commonly referred to as E-glass) material is a balanced knitted textile manufactured by Collins Craft Composites of Walhalla, South Carolina. The two-component epoxy used is Hysol 9460 manufactured by the Hysol Corporation of Seabrook, New Hampshire.

Table A4.1. Fiber-Reinforced Composite Load Versus Strain Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Peel Strength (N/mm)</th>
<th>Ultimate Load (N/mm)</th>
<th>Ultimate Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>340 g/m\textsuperscript{2} knitted fiberglass fabric with 3-mm thick epoxy*</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 g/m\textsuperscript{2} aramid fabric with 3-mm thick epoxy*</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No manufacturers’ data available for this fabric; independent test results used.

A4.3. Anchorage to Existing Structure. The manufacture and application of the composite material involves cutting the fabrics into the desired dimensions, thoroughly cleaning the surface to be retrofitted, placing the reinforcing strips at the intersections of the wall and frame, and placing the epoxy and fabric on the wall and frame. These steps are described in more detail below.

A4.3.1. Cut the fabric to the desired dimensions. The fabric should be cut so that there will be no less than an 200-millimeter overlap on the concrete frame. It is a good idea to purchase special scissors to cut the fabrics, as these fabrics tend to dull most scissors rather rapidly.

A4.3.2. The entire surface area over which the composite is to be applied must be cleaned thoroughly to ensure a good bond with the composite. Remove laitance and
vacuum the entire wall surface as well as the corners where the CMU wall meets the concrete frame.

**A4.3.3.** Mix the two components of the Hysol 9460 epoxy in a 1:1 ratio. A good mix color will be a light gray shade. The working time of epoxy at 32 °C is approximately 30 minutes.

**A4.3.4.** Next, apply the aramid fabric reinforcement at the intersections of the CMU wall with the concrete frame. Apply a 400-millimeter-wide ribbon of epoxy (200 millimeters on the wall, 200 millimeters on the frame) to a depth of 3 millimeters thick. A notched trowel performs this task adequately. Then, apply a 400-millimeter-wide strip of aramid fabric ensuring 8 inches of lap on the wall and concrete frame. Continue around the entire wall making sure all connections are reinforced with the bonded aramid/epoxy composite material. Where strips of fabric must be joined, use at least a 230-millimeter lap joint, making sure that the epoxy thoroughly saturates both pieces of fabric at the joint.

**A4.3.5.** Finally, apply the fabric (either aramid or fiberglass) to the wall, lapping the fabric onto the concrete frame a minimum of 200 millimeters. Apply a 3-millimeter-thick coat of epoxy to the entire surface of the wall. Apply strips of aramid or fiberglass fabric to the wall. Strips should be rolled on with a wax paper roller to ensure uniform penetration of the epoxy into the fabric. Where strips of fabric must be joined (horizontally or vertically), use at least a 230-millimeter lap joint, making sure that the epoxy thoroughly saturates both pieces of fabric at the lap joint.

**A4.4. Retrofit Blast Load Capacities.**

**A4.4.1.** Blast load capacities for each of the composite retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figure A4.2. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall.

**A4.4.2.** The data used to create the curves shown in Figure A4.2 were generated using analytical methods. All loads used in the analyses were normal reflected pressures. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading. The 200-millimeter-thick CMU wall was modeled as a one-way span of 3.6 meters between simple supports at its top and bottom. The fabric acts as a tension membrane spanning between the structural members at the top and bottom of the wall and was modeled as being installed in contact or nearly in contact with the inside face of the wall. The response limit used was a midspan deflection equal to two-thirds of the deflection at which the fabric reaches its ultimate strain.
A4.5. Cost Data for Composite Retrofits. Table A4.2 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of composite retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. Note that the material cost for the fabrics is a small part of the total retrofit cost, so that the total cost does not depend greatly on the type of fabric. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1999.
## Table A4.2. Composite Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retrofit Fabric</th>
<th>Cost (Per Linear Meter of Wall)*</th>
<th>Material and Equipment</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Overhead and Profit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>340 g/m² knitted fiberglass fabric with 3-mm thick epoxy*</td>
<td>$331</td>
<td>$118</td>
<td>$63</td>
<td>$512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 g/m² aramid fabric with 3-mm thick epoxy*</td>
<td>$435</td>
<td>$118</td>
<td>$63</td>
<td>$616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 3.6 meters.

Labor required: Carpenters

Equipment required: Miscellaneous hand and power tools
A5.1. General Description. A four- or six-inch thick reinforced concrete backing wall is placed against the inside face of the CMU wall. The backing wall is reinforced with a single layer of reinforcement midway through its thickness. Equal vertical and horizontal bars are used with the vertical bars placed toward the inside of the wall relative to the horizontal bars. Attachment at the top and bottom of the new wall is achieved by drilling into existing slabs or beams and placing anchors that lap with the vertical wall reinforcement. The anchors can be either through-bolts or reinforcing bars epoxy-grouted into the existing structure. This retrofit method is very effective. It can also be used on walls that have windows, as described in A5.6. The concrete backing wall does add significant dead load to the structure and its effect on the conventional static and seismic design must be checked.

A5.2. Bonded Versus Unbonded. The reinforced concrete retrofit can be designed as bonded or unbonded. For the unbonded option, no special preparation is done to the surface of the CMU wall before placing the backing wall. Without surface preparation, the quality of the bond between the CMU and the concrete backing will not be reliable and the walls must be considered as acting separately, with the interface between them acting as a slip plane. The backing wall adds its strength to that of the CMU with no enhancement from composite action. If the surface of the CMU wall is properly prepared before placement of the concrete, a strong reliable bond will develop at the interface and the two walls will act as a composite unit, giving a substantial strength increase over the unbonded wall. The bonded wall surface should be prepared according to the guidance given in CEGS-03700, *Mass Concrete*, for preparation of concrete surfaces to which concrete is to be bonded.

A5.3. Backing Wall Configurations. Four combinations of backing wall thickness and reinforcing ratio are presented for both the bonded and the unbonded backing wall options. A backing wall thickness of 4 inches is used with either number three bars at 12 inches or number three bars at 6 inches; and a backing wall thickness of 6 inches is used with either number 3 bars at 10 inches or number three bars at 6 inches. Detailing options for the installation of the backing wall retrofits are presented in Figures A5.1 and A5.2. Figure A5.1 shows the through-bolting method for anchorage to the existing structure, and Figure A5.2 shows the use of epoxy resin grouting for anchorage. The use of epoxy resin grouting for anchorage is an alternative that can be used when access for through-bolting is difficult or impractical.
For bonded wall prepare surface of existing CMU in accordance with specifications before placement of new concrete.

- **4" or 6" concrete retrofit wall**
- **6" minimum thickness** reinforced concrete slab, typical (existing).
- **5/8" diameter A36 threaded rod or #4 bar with threaded end**, with nut and washer. Spacing to match #3 bars in the wall.
- **#3 @12" or #3 @6" for 4" wall**
- **#3 @10" or #3 @6" for 6" wall**
- **Existing 8" minimum CMU**

**Figure A5.1. Four- or Six-Inch Backing Wall with Through-Bolt Anchorage**
4" or 6" concrete retrofit wall

For bonded wall prepare surface of existing CMU in accordance with specifications before placement of new concrete.

6" minimum thickness reinforced concrete slab, typical (existing).

12'-0"

4" or 6" concrete retrofit wall

#3 @6" - 6" minimum embedment, drill and grout in place with epoxy resin. Spacing to match #3 bars in wall.

6" minimum thickness reinforced concrete slab, typical (existing).

Figure A5.2. Four- or Six-Inch Backing Wall with Epoxy Resin Anchorage

A5.4. Material Properties. Material properties used in the development of these retrofits were a concrete compressive strength of $f'_c = 4,000$ psi and reinforcing steel meeting ASTM-A615/A615M-00, *Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement, Deformed and Plain Billet*, Grade 60. Epoxy-resin used for drilled and grouted reinforcing bar anchorage must meet ASTM-C881-90, *Concrete, Epoxy-Resin-Base Bonding Systems*, Type IV and be of the appropriate grade and class for installation conditions.

A5.5. Retrofit Blast Load Capacities.

A5.5.1. Blast load capacities for each of the reinforced concrete backing wall retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figures A5.3 and A5.4.

A5.5.2. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall. The data used to create the curves shown in these figures were generated using analytical methods in accordance with TM 5-855-1. All analyses used normal reflected airblast. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading.
Unbonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall
Retrofit for 12-Foot High Unreinforced 8-Inch CMU Block Wall
Block Wall Simply Supported at Top and Bottom

Figure A5.3. Charge Weight Versus Standoff for Unbonded Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit
Walls With Windows. In many cases, a reinforced concrete backing wall retrofit can be applied to walls with windows. The presence of a window opening weakens a wall, and this weakening effect must be accounted for in the retrofit design. After selection of the retrofit backing wall design from Figures A5.3 or A5.4, the following additional requirements must be satisfied to allow the backing wall to compensate for the weakening effects of a window opening.

1. The width of the window opening must not exceed 80 percent of the vertical span of the retrofit wall.

2. The concrete backing wall must be placed behind any CMU wall above and below the window as well as on both sides.

3. Additional vertical reinforcing bars must be placed in the backing wall on each side of the opening. The amount of additional vertical reinforcing must equal or exceed the amount of vertical reinforcing interrupted by the opening, with half placed on each side. The additional bars should be distributed evenly in the backing wall close to the opening and over a wall width such that the reinforcing ratio in that width does not exceed 75 percent of the balanced strain reinforcing ratio (per ACI 318-95, *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*). The additional bars must
extend the full height of the wall and be anchored into the existing structure in the same manner as the other bars.

(4) If the width of wall between any two window openings is insufficient for placement of the additional reinforcing required in (3), these two windows and the space between must be considered as a single opening width in (1).

For windows wider than specified in (1) above, use of this procedure is not recommended and more detailed considerations beyond the scope of this ETL are appropriate. Application of these retrofit measures to a wall with windows presupposes that the windows are also upgraded to a similar airblast protection level.

A5.7. Cost Data for Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofits. Table A5.1 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of the reinforced concrete backing wall retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. The difference in cost between epoxy grout anchored dowels and threaded rods with nuts and washers is negligible; thus, they are not given separate prices in the cost table. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1998.

Table A5.1. Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retrofit Description</th>
<th>Cost (Per Linear Foot of Wall)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Material and Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Backing Wall With #3@12&quot;</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Backing Wall With #3@6&quot;</td>
<td>$37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot; Backing Wall With #3@10&quot;</td>
<td>$37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot; Backing Wall With #3@6&quot;</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Backing Wall With #3@12&quot;</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Backing Wall With #3@6&quot;</td>
<td>$46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot; Backing Wall With #3@10&quot;</td>
<td>$46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot; Backing Wall With #3@6&quot;</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 12 feet.
Labor required:
   Rodmen to place reinforcing steel
   Carpenters for formwork
   Cement finishers
   Skilled workers to drill holes in concrete and install dowels
   Equipment operators for concrete pump, boom truck, generator and air compressor
      with sandblasting attachments

Equipment required:
   Concrete pump and 75-foot boom with truck
   Concrete vibrator
   Air compressor with attachments for sandblasting (needed for bonded backing walls only)
   Rotary hammer drill for drilling holes in concrete
   Miscellaneous hand and power tools
REINFORCED CONCRETE BACKING WALL RETROFIT FOR AIRBLAST PROTECTION OF UNREINFORCED CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS
(Standard International Units)

A6.1. General Description. A 100 -or 150-millimeter-thick reinforced concrete backing wall is placed against the inside face of the CMU wall. The backing wall is reinforced with a single layer of reinforcement midway through its thickness. Equal vertical and horizontal bars are used with the vertical bars placed toward the inside of the wall relative to the horizontal bars. Attachment at the top and bottom of the new wall is achieved by drilling into existing slabs or beams and placing anchors that lap with the vertical wall reinforcement. The anchors can be either through-bolts or reinforcing bars epoxy-grouted into the existing structure. This retrofit method is very effective. It can also be used on walls that have windows, as described in A6.6. The concrete backing wall does add significant dead load to the structure, and its effect on the conventional static and seismic design must be checked.

A6.2. Bonded Versus Unbonded. The reinforced concrete retrofit can be designed as bonded or unbonded. For the unbonded option, no special preparation is done to the surface of the CMU wall before placing the backing wall. Without surface preparation, the quality of the bond between the CMU and the concrete backing will not be reliable and the walls must be considered as acting separately, with the interface between them acting as a slip plane. The backing wall adds its strength to that of the CMU with no enhancement from composite action. If the surface of the CMU wall is properly prepared before placement of the concrete, a strong reliable bond will develop at the interface and the two walls will act as a composite unit, giving substantial strength increase over the unbonded wall. Prepare the surface of the bonded wall according to the guidance given in CWGS-03305 for preparation of concrete surfaces to which concrete is to be bonded.

A6.3. Backing Wall Configurations. Four combinations of backing wall thickness and reinforcing ratio are presented for both the bonded and the unbonded backing wall options. A backing wall thickness of 100 millimeters is used with either a nominal 10-millimeter-diameter bar (10) at 305 millimeters, or 10 at 150 millimeters; and a backing wall thickness of 150 millimeters is used with either 10 at 250 millimeters or 10 at 150 millimeters. Detailing options for the installation of the backing wall retrofits are presented in Figures A6.1 and A6.2. Figure A6.1 shows the through-bolting method for anchorage to the existing structure and Figure A6.2 shows the use of epoxy resin grouting for anchorage. Epoxy resin grouting can be used for anchorage when access for through-bolting is difficult or impractical.
For bonded wall prepare surface of existing CMU in accordance with specifications before placement of new concrete.

100 mm or 150 mm reinforced concrete retrofit wall

150 mm minimum thickness reinforced concrete slab, typical (existing).

16 mm diameter A36 threaded rod with nut and washer or 14\( \varnothing \) with threaded end, nut and washer. Spacing to match 10\( \varnothing \) bars in the wall.

10\( \varnothing \) @300 mm or 10\( \varnothing \) @150 mm for 100 mm wall

10\( \varnothing \) @250 mm or 10\( \varnothing \) @150 mm for 150 mm wall

Existing 200 mm minimum CMU

Figure A6.1. 100- to 150-Millimeter Backing Wall with Through-Bolt Anchorage
For bonded wall prepare surface of existing CMU in accordance with specifications before placement of new concrete.

150 mm minimum thickness reinforced concrete slab, typical (existing).

10Ø @150 mm, 150 mm minimum embedment, drill and grout in place with epoxy resin. Spacing to match 10Ø bars in wall.

10Ø @300 mm or 10Ø @150 mm for 100 mm wall
10Ø @250 mm or 10Ø @150 mm for 150 mm wall

Existing 200 mm minimum CMU

Figure A6.2. 100- to 150-Millimeter Backing Wall with Epoxy Resin Anchorage
A6.4. **Material Properties.** Material properties used in the development of these retrofits were a concrete compressive strength of $f'_c = 27.6$ megapascals and reinforcing steel meeting ASTM-A615/A615M-00 Grade 400. Epoxy-resin used for drilled and grouted reinforcing bar anchorage must meet ASTM-C881-90 Type IV and be of the appropriate grade and class for installation conditions.

A6.5. **Retrofit Blast Load Capacities.** Blast load capacities for each of the reinforced concrete backing wall retrofits, presented in terms of charge weight versus standoff distance, are given in Figures A6.3 and A6.4. Charge weight is the equivalent weight of TNT and the standoff distance is the distance from the center of the charge to the outside face of the wall. The data used to create the curves shown in these figures was generated using analytical methods in accordance with TM 5-855-1. All analysis was done using normal reflected airblast. The method used was verified by comparison with experimental results to give conservative estimates of the retrofit wall response to blast loading.

![Unbonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit for 3.6 meters high unreinforced 200-millimeter CMU block wall](image)

**Figure A6.3. Charge Weight Versus Standoff for Unbonded Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit**
Bonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall
Retrofit for 3.6 meters high unreinforced 200-millimeter CMU block wall
(Block wall simply supported at top and bottom)

Figure A6.4. Charge Weight Versus Standoff for Bonded Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit

A6.6. Walls With Windows. A reinforced concrete backing wall retrofit can be applied to walls with windows in many cases. The presence of a window opening weakens a wall and this weakening effect must be accounted for in the retrofit design. After selection of the retrofit backing wall design from Figures A6.3 or A6.4, the following additional requirements must be satisfied to allow the backing wall to compensate for the weakening effects of a window opening:

1. The width of the window opening must not exceed 80 percent of the vertical span of the retrofit wall.
2. The concrete backing wall must be placed behind any CMU wall above and below the window as well as on both sides.
3. Additional vertical reinforcing bars must be placed in the backing wall on each side of the opening. The amount of additional vertical reinforcing must equal or exceed the amount of vertical reinforcing interrupted by the opening, with half placed on each side. The additional bars should be distributed evenly in the backing wall close to the opening and over a wall width such that the reinforcing ratio in that width does not exceed 75
percent of the balanced strain reinforcing ratio (per ACI 318). The additional bars must extend full height of the wall and be anchored into the existing structure in the same manner as the other bars.

(4) If the width of wall between any two window openings is insufficient for placement of the additional reinforcing required in (3), these two windows and the space between must be considered as a single opening width in (1).

For windows wider than specified in (1) above, use of this procedure is not recommended and more detailed considerations beyond the scope of this ETL are appropriate. Application of these retrofit measures to a wall with windows presupposes that the windows are also upgraded to a similar airblast protection level.

A6.7. Cost Data for Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofits. Table A6.1 gives approximate costs for materials and installation of the reinforced concrete backing wall retrofits. Labor and equipment requirements are also listed below. The difference in cost between epoxy grout anchored dowels and threaded rods with nuts and washers is negligible; thus, they are not given separate prices in the cost table. Costs given in the table are average values for construction in the United States in 1998.

Table A6.1. Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall Retrofit Cost Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retrofit Description</th>
<th>Material and Equipment</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Overhead and Profit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unbonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm backing wall w/10@ @ 305 mm</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$172</td>
<td>$76</td>
<td>$347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mm backing wall w/10@ @ 250 mm</td>
<td>$122</td>
<td>$234</td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>$458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mm backing wall w/10@ @ 250 mm</td>
<td>$122</td>
<td>$188</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td>$396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mm backing wall w/10@ @ 150 mm</td>
<td>$139</td>
<td>$241</td>
<td>$106</td>
<td>$486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonded Reinforced Concrete Backing Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm backing wall w/10 @ 305 mm</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>$211</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>$431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm backing wall w/10 @ 150 mm</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td>$271</td>
<td>$122</td>
<td>$545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mm backing wall w/10 @ 250 mm</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td>$228</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>$489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mm backing wall w/10 @ 150 mm</td>
<td>$165</td>
<td>$277</td>
<td>$129</td>
<td>$571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost estimates are based on a wall height of 3.6 meters.
Labor required:
- Rodmen to place reinforcing steel
- Carpenters for formwork
- Cement finishers
- Skilled workers to drill holes in concrete and install dowels
- Equipment operators for concrete pump, boom truck, generator and air compressor with sandblasting attachments

Equipment required:
- Concrete pump and 22.7-meter boom with truck
- Concrete vibrator
- Air compressor with attachments for sand blasting (needed for bonded backing walls only)
- Rotary hammer drill for drilling holes in concrete
- Miscellaneous hand and power tools
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